Connection	Agree with proposal	Comments
Resident	No	I wish to place on record my opposition to the proposed extension to Oakfield Primary and Infant school. Ihave lived in the above address for more than forty years. Over those years I have seen a number of changes at the school but since the last extension the volume of traffic has grown out of all proportion. It is now so bad accidents are starting to occur.
		The view from my windows has drastically changed since the lovely trees were chopped down and the industrial fence erected. The noise is greater and the nursery have a radio playing in the summer. I'm not a killjoy where children playing is concerned but Ifeel enough is enough.
		Why was the entrance gate in Ryebank Avenue closed? Could it have been because of the traffic and people complained. Well here's another complaint
		With the extension the problem will only get worse and I don't see why I should be restricted from entering and leaving my property as and when I need to get out of my drive which at times is getting rather difficult now.
		Not only that, visitors to the school litter the road with their cars for several hours as there is no room on the current car park. We were 'assured' this would not be the case at the time of the last consultation for the previous extension
		Why not extend some other school in an area that is not so built up. That would make a lot more sense
Resident	No	I have lived at the above address for fifty years. With the extension the traffic has grown out of all proportion,
		accidents are starting to happen.
		The gate at Ryebank Avenue was closed? Was it because they complained about the traffic.
		I am restricted morning and afternoon from leaving and entering my property. As people arrive too early to get a spot close to the school to save walking as some do not live far away. With the new extension coming there will be more traffic, so the school will require a bigger car park for the parents.

Governor of		I write as Vice Chair of Governors of a Crewe School following a Chairs Meeting yesterday to express some
Neighbouring		concerns we have about the proposed additional build: -
School		 The school was asked to take additional children above PAN into reception September 2011 The school has 40 plus first place preferences, with a PAN of 30 for September 2012 We understand that by February there were still 30 unplaced reception children within the Crewe area So is giving a limited extension to a school currently in special measures (with a long term history of problems) really the best way to address the shortfall, especially with quality provision? Should not all Crewe Schools be given the chance to tender for additional places? Has Cheshire East any accurate projected school numbers for Crewe over the next 5 years? Is this the first step in a coherent plan, or a one off? We would like to be re-assured that a holistic approach is being taken to the Crewe child population.
Resident	No	We hereby would like to oppose the expansion to Oakefield Primary School, Holland Street, Crewe. Our reason for this are as follows, we bought our property back in 1999 the school was only a junior side of the school as the Infants was in Broad Street it was surrounded by hedges and trees in fact you would not have known that there was a school there only for a small sign above the hedge (I have photos of how it used to look) about four years ago they merged the two schools together much to our disapproval due to the look of what can only be described as an ugly building right opposite our property, they cut down all one of the trees to make space for it, they ripped out the hedge to put up a wooden fencing, then much to our amazement they ripped out that out recently and put ugly green metal fencing up, we are now looking at what can only be described as a commercial car park that is lit up all night, we have had to buy blackout curtains in the bedroom at the front of our property.
		Since the school merged because of the amount of children going to it that are on free school meals, we are now classed as living in a deprived area which has affected property prices round here, that information came from an estate agent from the Halifax. The school now at this present day causes us and my neighbours stress, the sheer volume of traffic to the school is a <u>BIG</u> problem. They closed the other entrance in Rybank Avenue

after the merger, so all the traffic uses Holland Street. In the last week of school term which I must add was only 4 days, 3 of those days four days, I could not get out of my drive to take my son to school. I had to get irresponsible, and damn right rude parents to move, it's not just parents in cars, delivery vans, even buses, we have elderly neighbours one of which has regular hospital appointments and cannot walk so she needs her access kept clear at all times for her taxis. The traffic police have been a few times and booked some of the drivers and we have had a few visits from traffic wardens, if the school expands that will mean more cars, more deliveries and more buses, this is supposed to be a residential area. The school actually wrote to us last week to arrange a meeting about the traffic problem. We also have problems with parents and children littering from cigarette ends to school newsletters.

Why expand this school as there are other schools surrounding this area that are full. i.e Mablins Lane and Leighton Primary, both of these schools serve the Selworthy Estate and surrounding areas and as my son goes to Mablins Lane they have the space for expansion and parking is ample as the Eight Farmers kindly allow us to use its car park.

So we don't want this expansion, we don't want yet even more building work, we don't want more traffic, we don't want any of it, thanks but NO THANKS.